

AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS:

Attached sheets of drawings include changes to Fig. 1 and 2. These sheets, which include Fig. 1 and 2 replace the original sheets including Fig. 1 and 2.

In Fig. 1, previously missing line marking the element 5 have been added. Further, in Fig. 1, identifiers and lines for elements 3h and 3 have been amended to more clearly identify the elements in the drawings.

In Fig. 2, identifiers for elements 6a, 2a, and 2a1 have been added. Further, in Fig. 2, identifiers and lines for elements 3h and 3 have been amended to more clearly identify the elements in the drawings.

Attachment:            Replacement Sheets  
                             Annotated Sheets Showing Changes

### REMARKS

This amendment is offered in response to the Office Action of December 13, 2007. The Office Action objected to the specification for several informalities. The Office Action also objected to the drawings for failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84 (p)(5) and 1.83 (a). Claims 1-3 were rejected under first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Office Action also rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0046887 to Chubb.

In response to the objections to the drawings, Applicants have amended Figures 1 and 2 to remove the informalities and to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) and 1.83(a) requirements as noted in the Office Action. Further, applicants have made amendments to the claims and specification to further clarify the disclosure and to comply with the enablement requirement under first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112. No new matter is being added.

#### Rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over Chubb

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-3 are not anticipated by Chubb since Chubb fails to disclose one of the claimed elements of the invention. Chubb fails to disclose a back square that can be adjusted to the thickness of the container wall. The Office Action states that window frame disclosed in Chubb can be adjusted with element 27 identified as a snap-in bracket. This is not correct since element 27 is not a snap-in bracket but is identified as a glazing bead. *See* paragraph 28, line 2 of Chubb. In Chubb, the flanges 20 are pressed against the door to ensure that the glazing tape 37 bonds completely to the door and completely around the frame 11. The flanges 26 of the panel frame 12 are pressed against the door 14 to ensure bonding of the glazing tape 37 to the door. *See* paragraphs 34 and 35 of Chubb. Further, the “interference fit” or “slide friction fit” is accomplished in Chubb by dual durometer bead 33 that

minimizes the gap between frame 11 and panel frame 12. *See* paragraph 24 of Chubb. Since Chubb does not disclose all the elements of the present invention, Chubb does not anticipate the present invention. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

A request for one month extension of time and fee are enclosed. Applicants do not believe that any other fees are due. However, if any additional fees are due, please charge such sums to our Deposit Account: 50-1145.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Gerald Levy", written over a horizontal line.

Gerald Levy  
Registration No. 24,419

Lindsay S. Adams  
Registration No. 36,425

Robert L. Norton  
Registration No. 62,082

Attorney for Applicants

Day Pitney LLP  
7 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036-7311  
(212) 297-5800